

## **ORDER NO. 65 / 2024**

### **Rector of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport of 22 May 2024**

on: specifying the templates of forms related to the course of education at the Doctoral School of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport

Based on § 163 sec. 2, § 165 sec. 1 and § 19 sec. 2 and 6 of the Regulations of the Doctoral School of the Academy of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport of 25 September 2019 (consolidated text constituting an annex to Resolution No. 18 of the Senate of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport of 25 April 2024) in connection with § 2 and 3 of Resolution No. 18 of the Senate of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport of 25 April 2024 on amending the Regulations of the Doctoral School of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport, as well as art. 11 sec. 2 and art. 25 sec. 3 of the Statute of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport in Gdańsk of 19 June 2019 (consolidated text constituting Annex No. 1 to Resolution of the Senate of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport No. 52 of 14 December 2023)

it is hereby ordered as follows:

#### **§ 1**

The following forms related to the course of education are specified at the Doctoral School of Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport:

- 1) a semester report form on scientific activity and implementation of the Individual Research Plan and preparation of the doctoral dissertation, which constitutes Annex No. 1 to this Order,
- 2) a form of the Individual Research Plan of the doctoral student, which constitutes Annex No. 2 to this Order,
- 3) a report form on the implementation of the Individual Research Plan for conducting the mid-term evaluation of the doctoral student, which constitutes Annex No. 3 to this Order,
- 4) a mid-term evaluation form of the doctoral student of the doctoral school of the University of Physical Education and Sport in Gdańsk, which constitutes Annex No. 4 to this Order.

#### **§ 2**

The Order enters into force on 1 October 2024.

**RECTOR**  
**prof. dr hab. Paweł Ciężczyk**

**SEMESTER REPORT  
ON SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITY AND ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INDIVIDUAL  
RESEARCH PLAN AND PREPARATION OF THE DOCTORAL DISSERTATION  
FOR THE SUMMER/WINTER SEMESTER (cross out as appropriate)  
OF THE ACADEMIC YEAR ...../.....**

**Formal guidelines for preparing and submitting the report:**

1. The form should be completed in a computer text editor and submitted to the Office for Doctoral Affairs in the printed original with a handwritten signature or electronic signature and relevant attachments (scans of all attachments, e.g. certificates of participation in conferences, scientific events, internships, should be submitted in ONE FILE called SEMESTER ASSESSMENT ATTACHMENTS). Attachments should be arranged in the order of the points to which they refer.
2. The form should be submitted no later than January 31 for a given winter semester and August 31 for a given summer semester.
3. A positive assessment of the report is one of the necessary conditions for passing the semester.
4. The report should be accompanied by:
  - a) a report on the implementation of professional internships together with the supervisor's assessment;
  - b) the opinion of the supervisor or supervisors on the doctoral student's scientific activity and progress in the implementation of the IPB and the doctoral dissertation.The appropriate forms can be found below. The lack of documents indicated in points 4a and b, **signed with a handwritten signature or electronic signature of the supervisor or supervisors, makes it impossible to evaluate the report.**

**I. General information**

1. Date of completion of the report:
2. Name and surname of the doctoral student:
3. Year of education at the Doctoral School:
4. Supervisor:
5. Assistant supervisor (if applicable):

**II. Information regarding the implementation of the doctoral dissertation (obligatory)**

The text of the report concerning point II cannot exceed 3,500 characters (without spaces).

The semester report should present only scientific achievements from the given reporting period.

**Required content for the report on the implementation of the doctoral dissertation**

**1. Title of the dissertation:**

.....  
.....

**2. Assumed form of the doctoral dissertation** (a series of thematically related scientific articles or a monograph):

.....  
In the case of a doctoral dissertation carried out in the form of a series of thematically related scientific articles, the number of published/submitted to the editors papers included in this series should be indicated (a detailed bibliometric characteristics of these publications should be presented in point III.)

**3. Purpose of the dissertation** (after the 2nd semester, refer to the purpose assumed in the Individual Research Plan (IRP); **if necessary, justify its change; max. 100 words**):

.....  
.....

**4. Information on the implementation of the IPB** in accordance with the established schedule (or justification for its change). In the event of a change in the schedule, its corrected version should be attached. In the event of complete non-implementation of the IPB in a given semester, the reason should be explained and a recovery plan should be presented. In the reports for semesters 1 and 2, enter "not applicable" (**max. 100 words + attachment of the new IPB, if applicable**):

.....  
.....

**5. Consent of the bioethics committee** (if applicable). The name of the institution issuing the consent, the consent number and the date of its obtaining or the planned date of submitting the application should be provided. In the case of works in which consent of the bioethics committee is not planned, this should be justified.

.....  
.....

**6. Methodology of the dissertation** (all sub-items a-h should be addressed; max 400 words). Depending on the semester of education at the Doctoral School, the report should focus on the appropriate stages of the scientific dissertation necessary to complete the doctoral dissertation (depending on the degree of advancement of the dissertation), starting with a review of the scientific literature, collecting research material/recruiting people for research, conducting research, etc. Reports in subsequent semesters may briefly refer to tasks described in earlier reports, e.g. "The literature review was reported after semester 1, 2" etc.

The most content should be devoted to tasks completed in a given reporting period. For winter semesters, activities completed since September 1 of the previous semester can be included.

If the tasks are still planned for implementation, you should write: "I plan to recruit, apply, study, analyze..., etc. and provide a planned deadline for implementation, according to the IPB schedule. The tasks planned for implementation should be presented very briefly. Nevertheless, their descriptions should allow for an assessment of the coherence of the individual stages of the dissertation (e.g. whether the presented methods enable the implementation of the intended goal of the dissertation or the assessment of selected variables).

#### **Research tasks related to the implementation of the doctoral dissertation:**

- 1) literature review (PRISMA standards are recommended, a list of databases used, search criteria used, number of bibliographic items included in the review, main conclusions from the review should be provided. In the case of publication/submission of a review paper, bibliometric characteristics should be provided in point III);
- 2) recruitment of study subjects or entities/collected research material (concise characteristics, inclusion and exclusion criteria, recruitment/collection dates for research material);
- 3) Characteristics of the study (e.g. type of study: randomized experimental study with a control group - RCT, cross-sectional, longitudinal observational study; description of the intervention, time frame, etc.; reference to appropriate standards for reporting research work is recommended, e.g. CONSORT, CERT, STORBE);
- 4) Research/measurement methods and tools (including description of research equipment used, description of questionnaires, etc.);
- 5) Variables selected for analysis;
- 6) Statistical methods for analyzing the results;
- 7) Obtained/expected results (pilot study results may be presented);
- 8) Conclusions from the dissertation (may only concern the completed stages of the dissertation, be based on observations from the implementation of these stages), including application conclusions or expected significance of the dissertation (at earlier stages of its implementation) for society, economy, implementations, etc.;
- 9) Other research tasks relevant to the implementation of the doctoral dissertation (optional).

#### **I. Information on the achievements of the PhD student (optional)**

1. **Publications.** First, indicate the works included in the single-topic publication cycle (if applicable) and works in which the PhD student is the first author. Provide bibliometric characteristics (authors, title, year, volume, pages, doi; recommended Vancouver or APA style); MNiSW score, journal IF index, and attach a copy of the first/title page of the publication. For works from the single-topic cycle, attach statements of co-authors about their individual contribution to the preparation of the dissertation:
  - 1.1 included in the latest list of scientific journals and peer-reviewed materials from international conferences published by MNiSW;
  - 1.2 other publications (including popular science);
  - 1.3 works submitted to the journal. Provide bibliometric characteristics and attach confirmation from the editorial office that the dissertation has been submitted for review.

Note: Do not enter publications/works indicated in reports for previous semesters.

**2. Obtaining funds for research** - as a project manager: Provide the project title, name of the competition and financing institution, deadline for implementation/application submission and the amount of funding, and attach a scan of the decision to grant funds. This also applies to projects currently being implemented from funds obtained in previous years.

2.1 From non-university sources (e.g. NCN, NCBiR, NAWA, MNiSW, FNP) - awarded or ongoing grant;

2.2 From non-university sources (e.g. NCN, NCBiR, NAWA, MNiSW, FNP) - passing the first stage and rejection in the second stage;

2.3 Applications submitted but not qualified for substantive assessment. Attach a scan of the confirmation of application submission;

2.4 From university sources (e.g. research funding from the GUPES subsidy).

**3. Participation in research projects** - as a contractor: Provide the project title, name of the competition and financing institution, deadline and amount of funding. Attach a scan of the project manager's certificate.

3.1 Projects financed from external sources (e.g. NCN, NCBiR, NAWA, MNiSW, FNP grants);

3.2 Projects financed from the university's own funds (e.g. department);

3.3 Other research projects.

**4. Research internships:** Please provide the host institution, period (dates) and research objective of the internship. Please attach a scan of the certificate from the head of the host university or research institution:

4.1 Research internships abroad;

4.2 Research internships in Poland.

**5. Conferences, symposia, congresses, scientific workshops:** Provide the title of the paper, date, place and organizer, attach the conference program or certificate of participation and indicate active participation (personal presentation of the paper).

5.1 International;

5.2 National;

5.3 Other (not meeting the above conditions).

**6. Activities for the popularization of science:**

6.1 Participation in the organizing committee of a conference, symposium, congress, scientific workshop. Provide the name of the event, date, organizer, and attach the program and composition of the organizing committee with the visible name of the doctoral student;

6.2 Active participation in Science Festivals. Provide the name of the organizer, date and place of the event, and attach the program with the visible name of the doctoral student or a scan of the confirmation of the event manager;

6.3 New or ongoing membership in scientific societies and organizations. Provide the name, date of joining, nature of membership, document/source confirming membership.

**7. Activities aimed at transferring research results** to the economy. Please list them and attach supporting documents.

**8. Other SCIENTIFIC achievements and activities**, not listed above, which the PhD candidate considers important. Please list them and attach supporting documents.

.....  
Date and signature of the doctoral student

.....  
Date and signature(s) of the supervisor(s) or supervisor(s) or  
supervisor(s) and assistant supervisor(s)

**The grade obtained from the semester report (positive/negative):**

.....  
**Signature of the Director of the Doctoral School**

## Report on the implementation of professional internship

Number of teaching hours completed by the doctoral student as part of their professional internship, name of the subject taught in the education program or description of the classes completed or tasks related to their implementation in GUPES:

.....  
.....  
.....

in other entities (please provide name and address):

.....  
.....  
.....

Comments from the supervisor(s) on the implementation of the internship by the doctoral student (optional):

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

**Assessment obtained (positive/negative):**.....

A positive assessment is tantamount to confirmation that the doctoral student has achieved the learning outcomes specified for professional practice in the education programme.

.....  
Date and signature of supervisor(s)

**Opinion of the supervisor(s) on the PhD student's scientific activity and progress in the implementation of the IPB and preparation of the doctoral dissertation**

PhD student of the GUPES Doctoral School – M.A.

.....  
(name and surname)

in the winter/summer semester (cross out as appropriate) in the academic year  
...../.....

Text of the opinion (**max. 300 words**). In particular, please refer to points II.6.a-h covered in a given semester:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

**Assessment obtained (positive/negative):** .....

.....  
Date and signature/s  
supervisor or supervisors or supervisor and assistant supervisor



## **INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH PLAN (IPB)**

### **PHD STUDENT**

#### **Formal guidelines for preparing the IPB:**

1. The IPB form should be completed in a computer text editor and submitted to the Office for Doctoral Affairs in a printed original with a handwritten signature or electronic signature.
2. The form should be submitted no later than the end of September of the first year of study.
3. The IPB must be approved by the Supervisor<sup>1</sup> or Supervisors or Supervisor<sup>1</sup> and the Assistant Supervisor (if applicable) with a handwritten signature or electronic signature.

#### **I. General information**

1. Date of preparation of IBP.....
2. Name and surname of PhD student<sup>1</sup>: .....
3. Supervisor or supervisors (degree/title, name and surname, university, department,institute):.....
4. Assistant supervisor, if applicable (degree/title, name and surname, university, department):.....
5. ☐ IPB folded for the first time ☐ changed IPB

#### **II. Information about IPB (required)**

1. **Title of the dissertation** (consistent with the aim and methodology of the dissertation): The subject of the dissertation should fall within the area of physical culture sciences:  
.....  
.....
  2. **Assumed form of the doctoral dissertation** (a series of thematically related scientific articles or a monograph):  
.....
  3. **Purpose of the dissertation (max. 2000 characters)**. The PhD student defines the purpose that is to be achieved through conducting the research.
-

This section should also present the research questions and hypotheses (if applicable).

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

- 4. Significance of the research (max. 2000 characters).** A critical analysis of the current state of knowledge regarding the research topic should be presented and the justification for undertaking the research should be provided, referring to its innovative nature and the impact of the results on the development of physical culture sciences.

.....  
.....

- 5. Planned research methodology (please refer to all sub-items a-g; max 2500 characters):**

- 1) Characteristics of the study (e.g. type of study: randomized controlled trial – RCT, observational cross-sectional study, longitudinal study; description of the intervention, time frame, etc.; reference to appropriate standards for reporting research work is recommended, e.g. CONSORT, CERT, STROBE);
- 2) Recruitment of study subjects/research material (brief characteristics, inclusion and exclusion criteria, recruitment/collection dates for the study);
- 3) Research methods and measurement tools (including description of required research equipment, description of questionnaires, etc.);
- 4) Variables selected for analysis;
- 5) Statistical methods for analyzing results;
- 6) Expected results (results of pilot studies may be presented);
- 7) Potential application benefits for society, economy, implementations, etc.;
- 8) Other research tasks relevant to the implementation of the doctoral dissertation (optional).

### **III. Schedule of IPB implementation, including the deadline for submitting the doctoral dissertation**

The planned scientific tasks should be presented in chronological order.

It is recommended to prepare a schedule using a Gantt chart for individual years (Table 1). You can attach an Excel table. In addition to the above-mentioned tasks concerning research methodology, the PhD student may plan the following scientific activities:

- 1) Applying for funding for scientific activities, including participation in domestic and foreign competitions financing scientific research;
- 2) Participation in conferences, symposia, scientific workshops, etc.;
- 3) National and foreign scientific internships;
- 4) Actions taken to disseminate research results;
- 5) Actions taken to transfer research results to the economy;

6) Other activities supporting scientific development, in particular those necessary to prepare a doctoral dissertation.

Table 1. Simplified Gantt chart diagram for preparing the IPB implementation schedule

No.	Scientific tasks (adapted to the concept of the doctoral dissertation), e.g.	Implementation time	1st year, 2nd year,...											
			I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII
1	Review of scientific literature													
2	Submitting an application to the bioethics committee													
3	Applying for funding for scientific activities													
4	Purchasing/organizing necessary materials													
5	Recruitment of people for research													
6	Taking measurements...													
n	....													
n	Submission of publications 1, 2, 3, etc.													
n	Participation in conferences, symposiums, scientific workshops, etc.													
n	Research internship													
n	<b>SUBMITTING A DOCTORAL DISSERTATION (MANDATORY)</b>													

#### IV. Cost estimate of scientific activity, with particular emphasis on the costs necessary to prepare a doctoral dissertation

The costs associated with individual scientific tasks must be presented in tabular form. The costs presented must be estimated reliably, in relation to the actual market prices for the given products or services.

Table 2. Cost estimate of scientific activities related to the implementation of the IPB

No.	Task	Task implementation period	Cost calculation	Planned source of funding (approved by fund managers, if applicable)
1				
2				
n				
Total:				

#### V. Reference of IPB to the learning outcomes defined for the doctoral school education programme, confirming the adequacy of the planned research

**activity and dissemination of its results to the learning outcomes for the qualifications at level 8 of the Polish Qualifications Framework**

By completing the tasks specified in point II, the doctoral student should achieve all of the learning outcomes listed below from the education program. Confirmation of achieving the learning outcomes listed below is the submission of a doctoral dissertation. Additionally, the doctoral student may achieve outcomes related to other scientific activities. If necessary, remove the codes of learning outcomes that will not be implemented through IPB.

Table 3. List of learning outcomes assumed to be verified through the implementation of IPB

Learning Outcomes Category					
Knowledge		Skills		Social competences	
Educational program effect code	Description component code	Educational program effect code	Description component code	Educational program effect code	Description component code
By preparing a doctoral dissertation					
SD_W01	P8S_WG	SD_U01	P8S_UW	SD_K01	P8S_KK
SD_W02	P8S_WG	SD_U02	P8S_UW P8S_UO	SD_K02	P8S_KK
SD_W03	P8S_WG	SD_U03	P8S_UW	SD_K03	P8S_KO
SD_W04	P8S_WG	SD_U04	P8S_UW	SD_K04	P8S_KR
SD_W05	P8S_WG	SD_U05	P8S_UW	SD_K05	P8S_KR; P8S_KO
SD_W06	P8S_WG	SD_U07	P8S_UK	SD_K06	P8S_KR
SD_W07	P8S_WK	SD_U10	P8S_UW		
SD_W08	P8S_WK				
Additionally, through participation in conferences, symposia, scientific workshops, etc.,					
SD_W09	P8S_WG	SD_U08	P8S_UK		
SD_W12	P8S_WG	SD_U08	P8S_UK		
		SD_U14	P8S_UK		
		SD_U15 (for events in a foreign language)	P8S_UK		
Additionally, by applying to competitions that finance science					
SD_W10	P8S_WK	SD_U11	P8S_UW		
By carrying out research in a research team					
		SD_U05	P8S_UU		
Other learning outcomes:					

.....  
Date and signature of the doctoral student

.....  
Date and signature(s) of the supervisor(s) or supervisor(s) or supervisor(s) and assistant supervisor(s)

Comments from participants in the reporting session during which the PhD student presents the IPB (if applicable):

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

**Decision of the Director of the Doctoral School regarding the approval of the IPB**

After reviewing the material presented above by the doctoral student,

- ☐ I approve IPB
- ☐ I am sending the IPB back for correction
- ☐ I refuse to approve IPB

.....  
Date and signature of the Director of the Doctoral School

In the event of refusal to approve or referral of the IPB for correction, the following reasons must be given:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

The doctoral student may appeal against the above decision to the Scientific Council within 14 days of receiving the decision.

I was made aware of the above assessment:

.....  
Date and signature of the doctoral student

**REPORT**  
**ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH PLAN**  
**(IPB)**  
**FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION OF THE DOCTORAL STUDENT**

**Formal guidelines for the preparation and submission of the mid-term evaluation report:**

1. The form should be completed in a computer text editor and submitted to the Office for Doctoral Affairs in the printed original with a handwritten signature or electronic signature and appropriate attachments (scans of all attachments, e.g. certificates of participation in conferences, scientific events, internships **should be submitted in ONE FILE** called ATTACHMENTS FOR MID-TERM EVALUATION). Attachments should be arranged in the order of the points to which they refer. The doctoral student may be requested to present original documents.
2. The form should be submitted no later than September 30 of the 4th semester of education.
3. A positive result of the mid-term evaluation is a condition for continuing education at the Doctoral School.
4. The report must be positively assessed by the Supervisor and the Assistant Supervisor.

**I. General information**

1. Date of completion of the report: .....
2. Name and surname of the PhD student:.....
3. Supervisor<sup>1</sup>: .....
4. Assistant supervisor (if applicable):.....

**II. Information on the implementation of IPB (required)**

The text of the report concerning point II cannot exceed 3500 characters (excluding spaces).

The report for the mid-term evaluation should present the completed research tasks and scientific achievements from the period from the beginning of education at the Doctoral School to the end of the fourth semester.

**Required content for the IPB implementation report**

**1. Title of the dissertation:**

.....

- .....
2. **The assumed form of the doctoral dissertation** (a series of thematically related scientific articles or a monograph):

.....

In the case of a doctoral dissertation carried out in the form of a series of thematically related scientific articles, the number of published/submitted to the editors papers included in this series should be indicated (a detailed bibliometric characteristics of these publications should be presented in point III.)

3. **Purpose of the dissertation** (refer to the purpose stated in the IPB; **max. 100 words**):
- .....

4. **Information on the implementation of the IPB in accordance with the established schedule** (or possibly justification for its change). In the event of a change in the schedule, its corrected version should be attached. In the event of complete non-implementation of the IBP, the reason should be explained and a recovery plan should be presented (**max. 100 words + attachment, if applicable**):
- .....

5. **Consent of the bioethics committee (if applicable)**. The name of the institution issuing the consent, the consent number and the date of its obtaining or the planned date of submitting the application should be provided. In the case of works in which consent of the bioethics committee is not planned, this should be justified.
- .....

6. **Methodology of the scientific dissertation (all sub-items a-h should be addressed; max 400 words)**.

The most content should be devoted to completed tasks. If the tasks are still planned for implementation, you should write: "I plan to recruit, apply, study, analyze..., etc. and provide a planned deadline for implementation, in accordance with the IPB schedule). The tasks planned for implementation should be presented very briefly. Nevertheless, their descriptions should allow for an assessment of the coherence of the individual stages of the dissertation (e.g. whether the presented methods enable the implementation of the intended goal of the dissertation or the assessment of selected variables).

- 1) Review of the literature (PRISMA standards recommended, list of databases used, search criteria used, number of bibliographic items included in the review, main conclusions from the review. In case of publication/submission of a review paper, bibliometric characteristics should be provided in point III);

- 2) Characteristics of the study (e.g. type of study: randomized experimental study with a control group - RCT, observational cross-sectional study, longitudinal study;



description of the intervention, time frame, etc.; reference to appropriate standards for reporting research work is recommended, e.g. CONSORT, CERT, STORBE);

3) Recruitment of subjects or subjects/collected research material (concise characteristics, inclusion and exclusion criteria, recruitment/collection dates for research material);

4) Research/measurement methods and tools (including description of research equipment used, description of questionnaires, etc.);

5) Variables selected for analysis;

6) Statistical methods for analyzing the results;

7) Obtained/expected results (pilot study results may be presented);

8) Conclusions from the dissertation (may only concern the completed stages of the dissertation, be based on observations from the implementation of these stages), including application conclusions or expected significance of the dissertation (at earlier stages of its implementation) for society, economy, implementations, etc.;

9) Other research tasks relevant to the implementation of the doctoral dissertation (optional).

### **III. Information on the achievements of the PhD student (optional)**

**1. Publications.** First, indicate the works that are part of a single-topic series of thematically related scientific articles (if applicable) and works in which the PhD student is the first author. Provide bibliometric characteristics (authors, title, year, volume, pages, doi; recommended Vancouver or APA style); MNiSW score, journal IF index, and attach a copy of the first/title page of the publication. For works from a single-topic series, attach statements by co-authors about their individual contribution to the preparation of the dissertation:

1.1 included in the latest list of scientific journals

and peer-reviewed materials from international conferences published by MNiSW;

1.2 other publications (including popular science);

1.3 works submitted to the journal. Provide bibliometric characteristics and attach confirmation from the editorial office that the dissertation has been submitted for review.

**2. Obtaining funds for research - as project manager.** Provide the project title, name of the competition and financing institution, deadline for implementation/application submission and amount of funding, and attach a scan of the decision to grant funds. This also applies to projects in progress from funds obtained in previous years.

2.1 From non-university sources (e.g. NCN, NCBiR, NAWA, MNiSW, FNP) - awarded or ongoing grant;

2.2 From non-university sources (e.g. NCN, NCBiR, MNiSW, NAWA, FNP) - passing the first stage and rejection in the second stage;

2.3 Applications submitted and not qualified for substantive assessment. Attach a scan of the confirmation of application submission;

2.4 From university sources (e.g. research funding from the GUPES subsidy).

**3** Participation in research projects - as a contractor. Provide the project title, name of the competition and financing institution, deadline and amount of funding. Attach a scan of the project manager's certificate:

3.1 Projects financed from external sources (e.g. NCN, NCBiR, NAWA, MNiSW, FNP);

3.2 Projects financed from the university's own funds (e.g. department);

3.3 Other research projects.

**4 .Research internships.** Please provide the host institution, source of financing, period (dates) and research objective of the internship. Please attach a scan of the certificate from the head of the host university or research institution:

4.1 Research internships abroad;

4.2 Research internships in Poland.

**5 Conferences, symposia, congresses, scientific workshops.** Please provide the author/co-authors, title of the paper, date, place and organizer, and attach the conference program or certificate of participation, and indicate active participation (presentation of the paper by a doctoral student):

5.1 International;

5.2 National;

5.3 Other forms of popularizing science.

**6 Activities for science.**

6.1 Participation in the organizing committee of a conference, symposium, congress, scientific workshop. Provide the name of the event, date, organizer, and attach the program and composition of the organizing committee with the name of the doctoral student visible;

6.2 Active participation in Science Festivals. Provide the name of the organizer, date and place of the event, and attach the program with the name of the doctoral student visible or a scan of the confirmation of the event manager;

6.3 New or ongoing membership in scientific societies and organizations. Provide the name, date of joining, nature of membership, document/source confirming membership.

**7. Actions to transfer research results to the economy.** Please list them and attach supporting documents.

**8. Other SCIENTIFIC achievements and activities,** not listed above, which the PhD student considers important. They should be listed and supporting documents attached.

.....  
Doctoral student's signature

Assessment by the supervisor(s):	<input type="checkbox"/> positive	<input type="checkbox"/> negative	Signature/s:
Assessment by the assistant supervisor (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/> positive	<input type="checkbox"/> negative	Signature:
Assessment by the Chairman of the Assessment Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> positive	<input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	Signature:

In the event of a negative assessment or referral of the report for correction, the following reasons must be provided:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

The doctoral student may appeal against the above decision to the Scientific Council within 14 days of receiving the decision.

I was made aware of the above assessment:

.....  
Date and signature of the doctoral student

## MID-TERM EVALUATION FORM FOR DOCTORAL STUDENTS OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF GUPES

<b>Name and surname of doctoral student:</b>			
<b>No.</b>	<b>Assessed criterion</b>	<b>Assessment<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Justification/comments <sup>3</sup>:</b>
1	Implementation of the dissertation goal established in IPB	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	
2	Conducting the dissertation in accordance with the IPB schedule (or evaluation of the new schedule)	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	
3	Obtaining consent from the bioethics committee	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable	
4	<b>Methodology of a scientific dissertation</b>		
4a	Conducted review of the scientific literature	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	
4b	Identification of the persons or entities being examined and the research material	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	
4c	Establishing the type/model of the study and its course	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	
4d	Establishing research/measurement methods and tools	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	
4e	Establishing variables selected for analysis	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	
4f	Establishing statistical methods	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable	
4g	Presentation of obtained/expected results	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative	

<sup>2</sup> With regard to points 1-4(a-h), the doctoral student must obtain a positive assessment in order for the overall mid-term assessment to be positive.

<sup>3</sup> In the case of a negative assessment or a referral for correction, justification must be given.

	(may be from pilot studies)	<input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable	
--	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

4h	Conclusions from the doctoral dissertation (may only refer to completed stages of the dissertation), including application conclusions or the expected significance of the dissertation	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected		
4i	Other research tasks related to the implementation of IPB (specify the tasks)	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
5	Scientific publications	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
6	Fundraising for research	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
7	Participation in research projects	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
8	Research internships	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
9	Conferences, symposia, congresses, scientific workshops	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
10	Activities for the popularization of science	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
11	Actions towards transferring research results to the economy	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
12	Other achievements and SCIENTIFIC activities (specify which)	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected <input type="checkbox"/> not applicable		
13.	Other comments/justification of the assessment:			
15.	<b>OVERALL ASSESSMENT:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> positive <input type="checkbox"/> negative <input type="checkbox"/> to be corrected	Name and surname of the evaluation committee member:	Signature: